

# Topology Final Exam

Instructor: W. D. Gillam

Date: January 15, 2014

**Instructions:** Print your *name* and *Topology Final Exam* in the upper right corner of the first page. Also be sure to put your name in the upper right corner of *every* page you intend to turn in. The value of each problem is indicated in **bold**.

Throughout the exam,  $I := [0, 1]$  denotes the closed unit interval with the usual topology and  $\omega := \{1, 2, \dots\}$  (with the discrete topology).

The first problem is like the first problem on Midterm 1 (the “forgotten midterm”). We will consider the following “constructions” with spaces:

- (1) subspace
- (2) closed subspace
- (3) *finite* product
- (4) *finite* coproduct

and the following “properties” of topological spaces:

- (1) compact
- (2) Hausdorff
- (3) second countable (has a countable basis)
- (4) metrizable
- (5) locally compact
- (6) path connected
- (7) simply connected

- (1) **(5)** Construct a  $4 \times 7$  matrix by setting the  $(i, j)$  entry of the matrix equal to 1 if every  $i$  of a space (or “spaces,” as appropriate) with property  $j$  also has property  $j$ —otherwise set the  $(i, j)$  entry to zero. For example, the  $(3, 2)$  entry of this matrix is 1 if every *finite product* of *Hausdorff* spaces is *Hausdorff*—otherwise the  $(3, 2)$  entry is zero. No explanation or proofs are necessary—the matrix is your entire answer.

**Solution:**

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

I didn’t count the question about a finite coproduct of simply connected spaces, because I decided I was not clear about the convention that a simply

connected space *is required to be path connected* (this is the standard convention). At most one wrong was a 5, two or three wrong was a 4, and so forth.

- (2) (4) Give  $I^\omega := \{x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) : x_1, x_2, \dots \in I\}$  the product topology. Give

$$X := \{x \in I^\omega : x_n = 0 \text{ for all sufficiently large } n\}$$

the subspace topology. Is  $X$  compact? Justify your answer.

**Solution:** Since  $I^\omega$  is compact Hausdorff,  $X$  is compact iff it is closed in  $I^\omega$  (a closed subspace of a compact space is compact, and a compact space is closed in any Hausdorff space containing it). If you made this observation you got at least 2 points. To show that  $X$  is not compact, we will show that it isn't closed in  $I^\omega$ . In fact it is far from being closed... it is even dense in  $I^\omega$  (though it is clearly not equal to  $I^\omega$ ). Indeed, a non-empty basic open subset of  $I^\omega$  takes the form

$$U = U_1 \times \dots \times U_n \times I \times I \times \dots$$

where  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  are non-empty open subsets of  $I$ . Take any points

$$x_1 \in U_1, \dots, x_n \in U_n.$$

Then  $x := (x_1, \dots, x_n, 0, 0, \dots)$  is in  $U \cap X$ .

- (3) (3) Is there a linear order  $<$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  such the topology on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  induced by  $<$  coincides with the usual topology? Justify your answer.

**Solution:** No. Say  $<$  is a linear order on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Take any three points  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . After renaming them, we can assume  $x < y < z$ . Then

$$\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{y\} = \{p \in \mathbb{R}^2 : p < y\} \coprod \{q \in \mathbb{R}^2 : y < q\}$$

shows that  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{y\}$  is disconnected in the order topology, which is not true in the usual topology.

- (4) (3) Fill in the blanks:

$$\pi_1(S^1 \times S^1) \cong \_ \quad \pi_1(S^2) \cong \_ \quad \pi_1(\mathbb{R}^2) \cong \_ \quad \pi_1(S^2/\{\pm 1\}) \cong \_$$

**Solution:** The blanks are  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ ,  $\{1\}$ ,  $\{1\}$ ,  $\{\pm 1\}$ , as you learned on the previous midterm.

- (5) (4) Prove the following statements about covering spaces:

(a) If  $f : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  and  $g : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$  are covering spaces, then

$$f \times g : \tilde{X} \times \tilde{Y} \rightarrow X \times Y$$

is a covering space.

(b) If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g : Y \rightarrow Z$  are covering spaces, then  $gf : X \rightarrow Z$  is a covering space.

**Error:** I made an error here: It is necessary to assume that  $g$  is finite-to-one in (b). Obviously I did not grade you on (b); I just made this a two-point problem. I think everyone got the two points.

Here is an example that shows you need to assume  $g$  is finite-to-one: Take  $Z = S^1$ , take  $Y = \coprod_{n=1}^{\infty} S^1$ ,  $g$  the trivial covering space map,  $X = \coprod_{n=1}^{\infty} S^1$ ,

and  $f$  the coproduct of the  $n^{\text{th}}$  power maps  $f_n : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ . The trouble is that there is no single neighborhood  $U$  of, say,  $1 \in S^1 \subseteq \mathbb{C}^*$  which is good for *all* of the  $f_n$ .

**Solution:** For (a), consider a point  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ . Pick a neighborhood  $U$  (resp.  $V$ ) of  $x$  (resp.  $y$ ) in  $X$  (resp.  $Y$ ) good for  $f$  (resp.  $g$ ). Then  $f^{-1}(U) = \coprod_i U_i$  is a disjoint union of opens  $U_i$ , each mapping homeomorphically to  $U$  via (the restriction of)  $f$ , and  $g^{-1}(V) = \coprod_j V_j$  is a similar disjoint union. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (f \times g)^{-1}(V \times U) &= f^{-1}(V) \times g^{-1}(U) \\ &= \coprod_{i,j} U_i \times V_j \end{aligned}$$

and each  $U_i \times V_j$  maps homeomorphically to  $U \times V$  via (the restriction of)  $f \times g$ , hence  $U \times V$  is good for  $f \times g$ .

For (b), pick any  $z \in Z$ . Pick a neighborhood  $U$  of  $z$  in  $Z$  good for  $g$ , so  $g^{-1}(U) = \coprod_{i=1}^n U_i$ , with each map  $g|_{U_i} : U_i \rightarrow U$  a homeomorphism (there can only be finitely many  $U_i$  by the assumption that  $g$  is finite-to-one). Let  $y_i$  be the unique element of  $g^{-1}(z) \cap U_i$ . Pick a good neighborhood  $V_i$  of  $y_i$  for  $f$ , so that  $f^{-1}(V_i) = \coprod_{j \in I_j} V_{i,j}$ , with each map  $f|_{V_{i,j}} \rightarrow V_i$  a homeomorphism. Replacing  $V_i$  with  $V_i \cap g^{-1}(U)$  if necessary, we can assume  $V_i \subseteq g^{-1}(U)$ . We noted on some homework that a covering map is open, so  $W := g(V_1) \cap \cdots \cap g(V_n)$  is a neighborhood of  $z$ , which is easily seen to be good.

Do **only two** of these last three problems:

- (6) **(5)** Let  $X = (X, \tau)$  be a topological space. Let  $\tau_k$  be the family of subsets  $U$  of  $X$  such that  $U \cap K$  is open in  $K$  for every *compact* subspace  $K \subseteq X$ . Clearly  $\tau \subseteq \tau_k$ .
- (a) Prove that  $\tau_k$  is a topology (the *compactly generated topology*, or *k-topology*, from the German *kompakt*).
- (b) Prove that  $\tau = \tau_k$  when  $X$  is first countable. *Hint:* For such an  $X$  there is a useful criterion for determining when a subset  $Z \subseteq X$  is closed. (It is not so easy to give an example where  $\tau \neq \tau_k$ ! Be thankful that there is no part (c)!)

**Solution:** (a) is straight-forward. No one had trouble there. For (b), it will suffice to show that any subset  $Z \subseteq X$  which is closed in the topology  $\tau_q$  is closed in the topology  $\tau$ . Since  $(X, \tau)$  is first countable, it suffices to show that  $x \in Z$  whenever there is a sequence  $z_1, z_2, \dots \in Z$  converging to  $x$  in  $X$ . Notice that  $K := \{x, z_1, z_2, \dots\}$  is compact because the assumed convergence means any neighborhood of  $x$  contains all but finitely many  $z_i$ , so the assumption that  $Z$  is closed in the  $\tau_q$  topology implies that  $Z \cap K$  is closed in  $K$ . But  $Z \cap K$  certainly contains  $\{z_1, z_2, \dots\}$  and  $x \in K$  is certainly in the closure of  $\{z_1, z_2, \dots\}$ , so we must have  $x \in Z \cap K$ , hence  $x \in Z$  as desired.

- (7) **(5)** Let  $f_1 : X_1 \rightarrow Y$ ,  $f_2 : X_2 \rightarrow Y$  be continuous map of topological spaces with the same codomain. Let

$$X_1 \times_Y X_2 := \{(x_1, x_2) \in X_1 \times X_2 : f_1(x_1) = f_2(x_2)\}.$$

Give  $X_1 \times_Y X_2$  the subspace topology from the inclusion  $X_1 \times_Y X_2 \subseteq X_1 \times X_2$  ( $X_1 \times X_2$  is given the product topology). This ensures that the projections  $\pi_i : X_1 \times_Y X_2 \rightarrow X_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) are continuous. Prove that

$$\pi_1 : X_1 \times_Y X_2 \rightarrow X_1$$

is open when  $f_2$  is open. (“Open maps are stable under base change.”)

**Solution:** It suffices to prove that the image of each basic open (in any basis for  $X_1 \times_Y X_2$ ) under  $\pi_1$  is open, so it will be enough to prove that  $\pi_1(U_1 \times_Y U_2)$  is open in  $X_1$  whenever  $U_1$  is an open subset of  $X_1$  and  $U_2$  is an open subset of  $X_2$ . (Note that

$$U_1 \times_Y U_2 = (U_1 \times U_2) \cap (X_1 \times_Y X_2)$$

so such sets  $U_1 \times_Y U_2$  form a basis for  $X_1 \times_Y X_2$  by definition of the product and subspace topologies.) For this, a little “set theory exercise” yields the formula

$$\pi_1(U_1 \times_Y U_2) = U_1 \cap f_1^{-1}(f_2(U_2)),$$

which is open when  $f_2$  is open, since  $f_1$  is continuous.

- (8) **(5)** The “sea urchin” (*denizkestanesi*) is the space  $Y$  obtained by “gluing together infinitely many copies of  $I$  at the origin.” To be more precise, let  $X := I \times \omega$  and let  $\sim$  be the equivalence relation on  $X$  where  $(x, m) \sim (y, n)$  iff  $(x, m) = (y, n)$  or  $x = y = 0$ . Let  $[x, m]$  denote the equivalence class of  $(x, m)$ . Let  $q : X \rightarrow X/\sim =: Y$ ,  $q(x, n) := [x, n]$  be the quotient, with the quotient topology  $\tau_q$  on  $Y$ . Let  $y_0 := [0, 0]$  be the “special” point of  $Y$ .

We can alternatively topologize the set  $Y$  as follows: Declare a basic open neighborhood of  $y_0$  to be a set of the form

$$U_\epsilon = \{[x, n] : x < \epsilon\},$$

for  $\epsilon > 0$ . For  $x \in (0, 1]$  and  $m \in \omega$ , a basic open neighborhood of  $[x, m]$  is a set of the form  $\{[y, m] : y \in U\}$ , for  $U$  a neighborhood of  $x$  in  $(0, 1]$ . These basic opens form a basis for a topology  $\tau$ . (You don’t need to prove this.)

(a) Prove that  $\tau \subsetneq \tau_q$ .

(b) Prove that  $(Y, \tau)$  is metrizable, but  $(Y, \tau_q)$  is not even first countable.

**Solution:** To see that  $\tau \subseteq \tau_q$ , we just need to check that each of the basic opens  $V$  in the  $\tau$ -topology is open in the quotient topology  $\tau_q$ —i.e. that  $q^{-1}(V)$  is open in  $I \times \omega$ . Indeed, for the basic open  $U_\epsilon$ , we have

$$q^{-1}(U_\epsilon) = [0, \epsilon) \times \omega$$

and for the other kind of basic open  $V(U, m) = \{[y, m] : y \in U\}$ , we have

$$q^{-1}(V(U, m)) = (I \times \{m\}) \cap (U \times \omega).$$

To see that  $(X, \tau)$  is metrizable, you can use Urysohn's Metrization Theorem: To see that  $(Y, \tau)$  is second countable, use the basic opens  $U_{1/n}$  and  $V(U, n)$  as  $U$  runs over a countable basis for  $(0, 1]$  and  $n$  runs over the countable set  $\omega$ . To see that  $(Y, \tau)$  is regular, consider a closed subset  $A \subseteq Y$  and a point  $y = [x, n] \in Y \setminus A$ . We need to show that  $y$  and  $A$  are contained in disjoint opens  $S, T$ . This follows easily from the fact that  $(0, 1]$  is regular if  $x > 0$ . If  $y = y_0$ , then since  $A$  is closed some  $U_\epsilon$  is disjoint from  $A$  and  $S := U_{\epsilon/2}$ ,  $T := \cup_{n \in \omega} V((\epsilon/2, 1], n)$  will do.

To see that  $(X, \tau_q)$  isn't first countable at  $y_0$ , suppose  $U_1, U_2, \dots$  are open neighborhoods of  $y_0$ . For each  $n \in \omega$ ,  $(0, n) \in q^{-1}(y_0)$  and  $q^{-1}(U_n)$  is an open subset of  $I \times \omega$  containing  $q^{-1}(y_0)$ , so there will be some  $\epsilon_n > 0$  so that  $[0, \epsilon_n) \times \{n\} \subseteq q^{-1}(U_n)$ . Let  $V := \cup_{n \in \omega} [0, \epsilon_n/2) \times \{n\}$ . Then  $V$  is an open subset of  $I \times \omega$  which is  $\sim$ -saturated ( $q^{-1}(q(V)) = V$ ) because

$$(0, 1), (0, 2), \dots \in V,$$

so  $q(V)$  is an open neighborhood of  $y_0$  in  $(Y, \tau_q)$ . There is no  $n$  for which  $U_n \subseteq q(V)$  because there is no  $n$  for which  $q^{-1}(U_n)$  is contained in  $q^{-1}(q(V)) = V$ .