



## BUSS 230: Managerial Economics Fall 2011-2012 Regression Assignment ANSWER KEY Sections 1 to 6

## **Question 1**

**a.**  $\beta_2$  is the output elasticity of labor. It measures the percentage change in output due to a percentage change in labor.

 $\beta_3$  is the output elasticity of capital. It measures the percentage change in output due a to a percentage change in capital.

b.

## **Regression Analysis**

R²	0.688
Adjusted	
R <sup>2</sup>	0.668
R	0.830
Std. Error	0.217
33	observations
2	predictor variables
LNQ	is the dependent variable

#### ANOVA table

lable					
Source	SS	df	MS	F	p-value
Regression	3.1123	2	1.5561	33.12	2.55E-08
Residual	1.4094	30	0.0470		
Total	4.5217	32			

Regression ou	utput				confidence	e interval
		std.	t	р-	95%	95%
variables	coefficients	error	(df=30)	value	lower	upper
intercept	-0.1287	0.5461	-0.236	.8153	-1.2440	0.9867
LNL	0.5590	0.8164	0.685	.4988	-1.1084	2.2264

c. We can use p-values to test the significance of the coefficients  $\beta_2$  and  $\beta_3$  at the 5% level.

For  $\beta_2$ : p-value = 0.49>0.05= $\alpha$ . So we do not reject the null that  $\beta_2$  is different from zero.

Conclusion:  $\beta_2$  is insignificant

For  $\beta_3$ : p-value = 0.49>0.05= $\alpha$ . So we do not reject the null that  $\beta_3$  is different from zero.

Conclusion:  $\beta_3$  is insignificant.

**d.** For the joint significance of the variables in this regression, we need to use the p-value of the F-test.

p-value of F-test =  $2.55 \times 10^{-8} < 0.05$ . So we reject the null that the variables in this regression are jointly insignificant. Conclusion: the variables in this regression are jointly significant.

- e. The  $R^2$  of this regression is 68% and is reasonably high. This regression exhibits good fir. Note that  $R^2$  can be interpreted as 68% of the variation in log quantity being due to variation in log labor and log capital.
- **f.** This production function exhibits increasing returns to scale. This is due to the fact that  $\hat{\beta}_2 + \hat{\beta}_3 = 0.5590 + 0.4877 > 1$ .
- **g.** The variables are individually insignificant but jointly highly significant. This is not very intuitive and might suggest the presence of multicollinearity.
- **h.** We can compute the correlation between the two independent variables ln(K) and ln(L). The correlation coefficient is 0.98 and this indicates the presence of multicollinearity.

### **Question 2**

**a.** Due to the law of demand,  $\beta_{12}$ ,  $\beta_{22}$  and  $\beta_{32}$  are expected to be negative. The signs of  $\beta_{13}$ ,  $\beta_{23}$  and  $\beta_{33}$  depend on whether we expect the good to be an inferior or normal good.

Meat is expected to be a normal good so  $\beta_{13}$  is expected to be positive.

Fruits and vegetables are also expected to be normal goods so  $\beta_{23}$  is expected to be positive.

It can be argued that cereals and bakery products are either a normal or inferior good. Therefore,  $\beta_{33}$  can be either positive or negative.

**b.**  $\beta_{12}$ ,  $\beta_{22}$  and  $\beta_{32}$  are, respectively, the price elasticities of demand for meat, fruits and vegetables and cereals and bakery products.

 $\beta_{13}$ ,  $\beta_{23}$  and  $\beta_{33}$  are, respectively, the income elasticity of demand for meat, fruits and vegetables and cereals and bakery products.

#### c.

**Regression Analysis** 

R²	0.623
Adjusted	
R²	0.595
R	0.789
Std. Error	0.394
30	observations
2	predictor variables
LNq1	is the dependent variable

ANOVA

table					
Source	SS	df	MS	F	p-value
Regression	6.9437	2	3.4719	22.33	1.89E-06
Residual	4.1977	27	0.1555		
Total	11.1415	29			

Regression ou	utput				confidenc	e interval
		std.	t		95%	95%
variables	coefficients	error	(df=27)	p-value	lower	upper
intercept	1.0174	1.3541	0.751	.4590	-1.7611	3.7958
				1.05E-		
LNy	1.4339	0.2288	6.267	06	0.9644	1.9033
LNp1	-0.5670	0.2149	-2.639	.0136	-1.0079	-0.1261

**Regression Analysis** 

R²	0.541
Adjusted	
R <sup>2</sup>	0.507
R	0.736
Std. Error	0.451
30	observations
2	predictor variables
LNq2	is the dependent variable

ANOVA

table					
Source	SS	df	MS	F	p-value
Regression	6.4654	2	3.2327	15.92	2.71E-05
Residual	5.4830	27	0.2031		

|--|

Regression ou	Itput				confidence	e interval
		std.	t	р-	95%	95%
variables	coefficients	error	(df=27)	value	lower	upper
intercept	2.4628	1.4529	1.695	.1016	-0.5183	5.4439
LNp2	-0.6482	0.1875	-3.456	.0018	-1.0330	-0.2634
LNy	1.1435	0.2612	4.378	.0002	0.6075	1.6794

**Regression Analysis** 

R²	0.915
Adjusted	
R²	0.909
R	0.956
Std. Error	0.187
30	observations
2	predictor variables
LNq3	is the dependent variable

# ANOVA

lable					
Source	SS	df	MS	F	p-value
Regression	10.1149	2	5.0575	145.07	3.60E-15
Residual	0.9413	27	0.0349		
Total	11.0562	29			

Regression ou	utput				confidence	e interval
		std.	t	t		95%
variables	coefficients	error	(df=27)	p-value	lower	upper
				1.60E-		
intercept	4.8696	0.5467	8.908	09	3.7479	5.9913
				1.87E-		
LNp3	-0.9639	0.0653	-14.769	14	-1.0978	-0.8300
				1.19E-		
LNy	0.8713	0.1082	8.050	80	0.6492	1.0934

**d.** For  $\beta_{12}$ , p-value = 0.0136<0.05= $\alpha$ , therefore we reject the null that  $\beta_{12}$  is insignificant (i.e. it is significant) For  $\beta_{13}$ , p-value=1.05×10<sup>-6</sup><0.05= $\alpha$ , therefore we reject the null that  $\beta_{13}$  is insignificant (i.e. it is significant) For  $\beta_{22}$ , p-value=0.0018<0.05= $\alpha$ , therefore we reject the null that  $\beta_{22}$  is insignificant (i.e. it is significant) For  $\beta_{23}$ , p-value = 0.0002 <0.05= $\alpha$ , therefore we reject the null that  $\beta_{23}$  is insignificant (i.e. it is significant) For  $\beta_{32}$ , p-value =  $1.87 \times 10^{-14} < 0.05 = \alpha$ , therefore we reject the null that  $\beta_{32}$  is insignificant (i.e. it is significant) For  $\beta_{33}$ , p-value =  $1.19 \times 10^{-8} < 0.05 = \alpha$ , therefore we reject the null that  $\beta_{33}$  is insignificant (i.e. it is significant)

e. All three regressions have a high  $R^2$  ranging from 54% to 91%. The best "fit" corresponds to the third demand equation (that of cereals and bakery with an  $R^2$  of around 91%)

## **Question 3**

- **a.** The portfolio manager is postulating that S&P500 prices follow a linear (or secular) trend model with seasonal variation.
- **b.** See excel output.

**Regression Analysis** 

R²	0.820
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.814
R	0.906
Std. Error	198.928
123	observations
4	predictor variables
Р	is the dependent variable

ANOVA
tabla

lable					
Source	SS	df	MS	F	p-value
Regression Residual	21,330,410.8066 4,669,552.1591	4 118	5,332,602.7017 39,572.4759	134.76	4.98E-43
Total	25,999,962.9657	122			

Regression ou	utput				confidenc	e interval
		std.			95%	95%
variables	coefficients	error	t (df=118)	p-value	lower	upper
					-	
intercept	-26.4871	47.9633	-0.552	.5818	121.4675	68.4933
				8.24E-		
t	11.7296	0.5053	23.214	46	10.7290	12.7302
					-	
D1	-5.0832	50.9497	-0.100	.9207	105.9774	95.8110
					-	
D2	-2.9312	50.9472	-0.058	.9542	103.8205	97.9581
					-	
D3	-20.1559	50.9497	-0.396	.6931	121.0502	80.7383

- c. The parameter estimate for b is positive. We need to test for the significance of b at the 5% to establish whether a time trend exists. p-value= $8.24 \times 10^{-48} < 0.05 = \alpha$ , therefore we reject the null that b is insignificant (i.e. it is significant). Therefore, b is positive and significant and there is evidence of a time trend in S&P500 prices.
- d. p-value of c1 = 0.9207 > 0.05, we do not reject the null that c1 is insignificant.
  p-value of c2 = 0.9542>0.05, we do not reject the null that c2 is insignificant.
  p-value of c3 = 0.6937>0.05, we do not reject the null that c2 is insignificant.
  Given that all three seasonal dummy variables are insignificant, we conclude that there is no evidence of seasonality in S&P500 prices.
- e. Forecast of S&P500 for 2010Q4:

 $P(2010Q4) = -26.4871 + 11.72 \times 124 = 1428.80$ 

- f. 3 quarter MA forecast is: 1113.78, while 5 quarter moving average forecast is: 1102.704
- **g.** The adjusted closing price on 31 December 2010 is 1,257.64. The best forecasting method is the 3 quarter moving average as it is the closest to the actual value that materializes in December 2010.

### **Question 4**





**b.** The correlation between E-commerce retail sales and business inventories is 0.879. This is a high correlation coefficient indicating a strong positive linear relationship between the variables.

**c.** Yes, a seasonal pattern is expected in both variables. Sales are expected to increase during the holiday season (In Christmas, the 4<sup>th</sup> quarter of the year) while business are expected to hold higher inventories in the quarter preceding Christmas ( $3^{rd}$  quarter) in anticipation for the increase in sales.

**d.** and **e.** Denote business inventories by and E-commerce retail sales by  $X_t$  and inventories by  $Y_t$ . The following 2 equations can be estimated to check for a time trend and seasonal pattern in sales:

$$X_{t} = a + bt + c_{1}D_{1} + c_{2}D_{2} + c_{3}D_{3} + e_{t}$$
$$Y_{t} = a + bt + c_{1}D_{1} + c_{2}D_{2} + c_{3}D_{3} + e_{t}$$

Where:

 $t = 1, 2, \dots, 44.$ 

 $D_1 = 1$  if *t* is quarter 1

 $D_2 = 1$  if *t* is quarter 2

 $D_3 = 1$  if *t* is quarter 3

Estimating the 2 equations yields:

#### **Regression Analysis**

R <sup>2</sup>	0.691
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.659
R	0.831
Std. Error	77666.022
44	observations
4	predictor variables
<b>BUSSINESSINVENTORIES</b>	is the dependent variable

#### ANOVA table Source SS df <u>p-v</u>alue MS F 525,777,004,336.9070 1.65E-09 Regression 4 131,444,251,084.2270 21.79 Residual 235,248,427,936.9800 39 6,032,010,972.7431 Total 761,025,432,2<u>73.8860</u> 43

#### confidence interval **Regression output** pvariables coefficients std. error t (df=39) value 95% lower 95% 1.85Eintercept 1,090,454.5017 30,433.8979 35.830 31 1,028,896.1334 1,152,01 1.86Etime 8,617.3960 925.6456 9.310 11 6,745.1011 10,48 Q1 -2,206.5778 33,129.8368 -0.067 -69,217.9973 64,80 .9472 Q2 -3,599.6102 33,168.6079 -0.109 -70,689.4515 63,49 .9141 Q3 -3,732.0972 33,233.1257 -0.112 .9112 -70,952.4382 63,48

Regression	Analysis				
	R²	0.965			
	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.962			
	R	0.982			
	Std. Error	2324.924			
	44	observatio	ns		
	4 <mark>E-</mark>	predictor v	ariables		
	COMMERCERETAILSALES	is the depe	endent variable		
ANOVA table					
Source	SS	df	MS	F	p-value
Regression	5,848,914,539.6000	4	1,462,228,634.9000	270.52	7.16 <mark>E-28</mark>
Residual	210,805,548.9455	39	5,405,270.4858		

Total	6,059,720,088.5455	43	

Regression ou	utput				confidenc	ce interval
variables	coefficients	std. error	t (df=39)	p-value	95% lower	95% upper
				3.09E-		
intercept	5,613.6409	911.0354	6.162	07	3,770.8980	7,456.3838
				6.01E-		
time	905.3591	27.7091	32.674	30	849.3122	961.4060
					-	-
Q1	-4,404.1773	991.7380	-4.441	.0001	6,410.1567	2,398.1979
				2.23E-	-	-
Q2	-4,781.9909	992.8986	-4.816	05	6,790.3179	2,773.6639
				1.17E-	-	-
Q3	-4,995.4409	994.8299	-5.021	05	7,007.6744	2,983.2075

Which shows that business inventories have a positive time trend and no clear seasonal pattern (time trend is significant while seasonal dummy variables are not) whereas sales exhibit both a positive and significant time trend and a significant seasonal pattern (both the time trend and seasonal dummies are significant as evidenced by the very small p-vales on the time trend and seasonal dummy variables).