## Computer Architecture

## Lecture 2 - Performance

## Fall 2011

Reading: 1.4-1.10
Homework: 1.3, 1.4, 1.5

## Roadmap for the term: major topics

- Computer Systems Overview
- Technology Trends
- Instruction sets (and Software)
- Logic \& Arithmetic
- Performance
- Processor Implementation
- Memory Systems
- Input/Output


## Performance Outline

- Motivation
- Defining Performance
- Common Performance Metrics
- Benchmarks
- Amdahl's Law


## Performance

- Goal: Learn to "measure, report and summarize" performance of a computer system
- Why study performance?
- To make intelligent decisions when choosing a system
- To make intelligent decisions when designing a system
- Understand impact of implementation decisions
- Challenges
- How do we measure performance accurately?
- How do we compare performance fairly?


## What's a good measure of performance?

- Execution Time ( response time, latency)
- How long it takes to complete a single task
- Example: "how long does it take to break an MP3 file?"
- Throughput
- How many tasks are completed per unit time
, Example: "how many MP3 files can I break per hour?
- The measure we use depends on the application


## Computer Performance

- Response Time (latency)
- How long does it take for my job to run?
- How long does it take to execute a job?
- How long must I wait for the database query?
- Throughput
- How many jobs can the machine run at once?
- What is the average execution rate?
- How much work is getting done per unit time?


## Execution Time vs. Throughput

- Analogy: passenger airplanes (book Figure 1.13)
- Concorde - fastest "response time" for an individual user
- Boeing 747-highest passenger throughput

| Airplane | Passenger <br> Capacity | Cruising Range <br> (miles) | Cruising Speed <br> $(\mathrm{mph})$ | Passenger <br> Throughput <br> (passengers x mph) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boeing 777 | 375 | 4630 | 610 | 228,750 |
| Boeing 747 | 470 | 4150 | 610 | 286,700 |
| Concorde | 132 | 4000 | 1350 | 178,200 |
| DC-8-50 | 146 | 8720 | 544 | 79,424 |

## Execution Time

- Elapsed Time
- counts everything
(disk and memory accesses, I/O , etc.)
- a useful number, but often not good for comparison purposes


## Execution Time

## - CPU time

- doesn't count l/O or time spent running other programs
- can be broken up into system time, and user time
, Our focus: user CPU time
- time spent executing the lines of code that are "in" our program


## Measuring Execution Time

- Wall-clock time or elapsed time
- Includes I/O waiting
- Includes time while OS runs other jobs
- CPU time - measured by OS
- User time - time spent in user program during execution
- System time - time spent in OS during execution
- Measuring CPU time: Unix/Linux time command
\% time myprog



## Performance Outline

- Motivation
- Defining Performance
- Common Performance Metrics
- Benchmarks
- Amdahl's Law


## Defining Performance using Execution Time

- For a given program on machine X :

$$
\text { Performance }_{\mathrm{x}}=\frac{1}{\text { Execution time }_{\mathrm{x}}}
$$

- Comparing performance of machines:

Performance $_{\mathrm{X}}>$ Performance $_{\mathrm{Y}}$ if
Execution Time $_{\mathbf{X}}<$ Execution Time $_{\mathbf{Y}}$

## Defining Performance (cont'd)

" We say "X is $n$ times faster than $Y$ " if:

## $\frac{\text { Performance }_{\mathbf{X}}}{\text { Performance }_{\mathbf{Y}}}=n$

$\frac{\text { Performance }_{\mathbf{X}}}{\text { Performance }_{\mathbf{Y}}}=\frac{\text { Execution time }_{\mathbf{V}}}{\text { Execution time }_{\mathrm{X}}}=\boldsymbol{n}$

Use this definition to compare performance in homework \& exam problems!

## Example - Performance

| Machine | Execution Time |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | 15 seconds |
| B | 20 seconds |


| A |
| :--- |
| B |

- Which machine is faster?

- By how much?

$$
\mathrm{n}=\frac{\text { Performance }_{\mathrm{A}}}{\text { Performance }_{\mathrm{B}}}=\frac{\text { Execution time }_{\mathrm{B}}}{\text { Execution time }_{\mathrm{A}}}=\frac{20 \mathrm{sec} .}{15 \mathrm{sec} .}=1.33
$$

## Performance Outline

- Motivation
- Defining Performance
- Common Performance Metrics
- Benchmarks
- Amdahl's Law


## CPU Clocking

- Operation of digital hardware governed by a constant-rate clock

Clock (cycles)
Data transfer and computation

Update state


- Clock period: duration of a clock cycle
- e.g., $250 \mathrm{ps}=0.25 \mathrm{~ns}=250 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{~s}$
- Clock frequency (rate): cycles per second
- e.g., $4.0 \mathrm{GHz}=4000 \mathrm{MHz}=4.0 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~Hz}$


## Clocks and Performance

- Every processor has a clock
- Clock frequency - MHz (or GHz)
- Clock cycle time / period - ns (or ps)
- How do we relate clock to program performance?


CPU time for a program = \# CPU clock cycle for a program x clock cycle time
CPU time for a program $=$ \# CPU clock cycle for a program / clock rate .

## Example - Clock Cycles

| Machine | Execution Time | Clock Freq. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 15 seconds | 900 MHz |
| B | 20 seconds | 600 MHz |

- How many clock cycles does A execute?

CPU time for a program $=$ CPU clock cycle for a program / clock rate.
=> CPU clock cycle for a program = CPU time for a program * clock rate

- How many clock cycles does B execute?

$$
20 \text { sec onds } \times 600 \times 10^{6} \frac{\text { cycles }}{\text { second }}=1.2 \times 10^{10} \text { cycles }
$$

Consider a program that runs in 10 seconds on computer, A, which has a 4 GHZ clock. We are trying to help a computer designer build a computer, B, which will run this program in 6 seconds. The designer has determined that a substantial increase in the clock rate is possible, but this increase will affect the rest of the CPU design, causing computer $B$ to require 1.2 times as many clock cycles as computer A for this program. What clock rate should we tell the designer to target?

## Answer:

Performance equation
CPU time $=\frac{\text { clock cycles }}{\text { program }} \times \frac{\text { sec } \text { onds }}{\text { clock cycle }}=\frac{\text { clock cycles }}{\text { clock frequency }}$
First step: find clock cycles executed by Computer A

$$
\text { CPU Time }_{\mathrm{A}}=10 \text { seconds }=\frac{\text { clock cy cles }}{4} 10 \times 10^{6} \frac{\text { cycles }_{\text {second }}^{\text {s.co }}}{400}
$$

clock cy cles $_{\text {A }}=10$ seconds $x 400 \times 10^{6} \frac{\text { cycles }}{\text { second }}$
$=40 \times 10^{9}$ cycles

## Answer: (continued)

Second step: find clock cycles executed by Computer B
clock cycles $_{\text {B }}=1.2 \times$ clock cycles $_{\text {A }}=4800 \times 10^{6}$ cycles
Third step: given clock cycles and CPU time, solve for clock rate of Computer B

CPU Time $_{\mathrm{B}}=6$ seconds $=\frac{4800 \times 10^{6} \text { cy cles }}{\text { Clock rate }_{\mathrm{B}}}$
Clock rate ${ }_{B}=\frac{4800 \times 10^{6} \text { cy cles }}{6 \text { seconds }}=800 \mathrm{MHz}$
Lecture 2 Performance- Abbas Tarhini (c)

## Clock Cycles per Instruction (CPI)

- Consider the following instructions
- ADDA - 3 cycles
- MUL - 10 cycles
- IDIV - 41 cycles
- More complex processors have other issues...
- Pipelining - parallel execution, but sometimes stalls
- Memory system issues: cache misses, page faults, etc.
- How can we combine these into an overall metric?



## Definition: <br> Clock Cycles per Instruction (CPI)

- Average number of clock cycles per instruction
- Measured for an entire program

CPI $=$ Average Cycles per Instruction $=\frac{\# \text { of clock cycles }}{\# \text { of instructions }}$
, => \# of clock cycles = CPI * \# of instructions.

- => execution time = \# of clock cycles / clock rate
= CPI * \# of instructions / clock rate.


## Example - CPI

| Machine | Clock Cycles | Instructions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $1.35 \times 10^{10}$ | $2.6 \times 10^{9}$ |
| B | $1.2 \times 10^{10}$ | $3.0 \times 10^{9}$ |

- What is the CPI of A ?

What is the CPI of B?

## Definition - MIPS

- MIPS - millions of instructions per second

$$
\text { MIPS }=\frac{\frac{\# \text { of instructions }}{\text { benchmark program }} \times \frac{\text { benchmark program }}{\text { execution time }}}{1,000,000}
$$

- Once used as a general metric for performance
- But, not useful for comparing different architectures
- Often ridiculed as "meaningless indicator of performance"


## Example - MIPS

| Machine | Execution Time | Instructions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 15 seconds | $2.6 \times 10^{9}$ |
| B | 20 seconds | $3.0 \times 10^{9}$ |

- What is the MIPS of A?


Assume an instruction set implemented in 2 architectures:

For some program, Computer A has:
clock cycle time $=250$ ps
CPI $=2.0$

For the same program, Computer $B$ has: clock cycle time $=500 \mathrm{ps}$
CPI $=1.2$

## Which computer is faster?

## Answer:

Which is faster? ... Clearly A is faster:
CPU performance $\mathrm{A}=$ Execution time B
CPU performance $B$ Execution time $A$

$$
=\frac{600 \times \mathrm{I} \mathrm{ps}}{500 \times \mathrm{I} \mathrm{ps}}=1.2 \text { times }
$$

## Relating the Metrics The Performance Equation

- The "Iron Law" of Performance: a complete and reliable measure of computer performance is time.

CPU time $=\frac{\# \text { instructions }}{\text { program }} \times \frac{\text { clock cycles }}{\text { instruction }} \times \frac{\text { seconds }}{\text { clock cycle }}$
So, to improve performance (everything else being equal)
you can either increase or decrease?
_decrease_the \# of required cycles for a program, or _decrease_the clock cycle time or, said another way, _increase_the clock rate.

## Self Test !: Clock Cycles and Performance - Example

- Program runs on Computer A:
- CPU Time: 10 seconds
, Clock: 400MHz
- Computer B can run clock faster
- But, requires 1.2X clock cycles to perform same task
- Desired CPU Time: 6 Seconds
- What should the clock frequency be to reach this target?
- Key to approach: Performance equation

$$
\text { CPU time }=\frac{\text { clock cycles }}{\text { program }} \times \frac{\text { sec onds }}{\text { clock cycle }}=\frac{\text { clock cycles }}{\text { clock frequency }}
$$

## Remember:

CPU clock cycles $=$ Instructions for a program x Average clock cycles per Instruction (CPI)

CPU time $=$ Instruction count $\times$ CPI x clock cycle time

$$
\text { CPU time }=\frac{\text { Instruction count } \times C P I}{\text { Clock rate }}
$$

## Basic measurment at different levels in the computer and what is measured:

| Components of Performance | $\underline{\text { Units of Measure }}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| CPU execution time for a <br> program | Seconds for the program |
| Instruction count | Instructions executed for the <br> program |
| Clock Cycles per Instruction <br> (CPI) | Average number of clock <br> cycles per instruction |
| Clock cycle time | Seconds per clock cycle |

## More on CPU Clock Cycles

If a program contains different type of instruction using different clock cycle counts, then

$$
C P U \text { clock cycles }=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(C P U_{i} \times C_{i}\right)
$$

$\mathrm{CPU}_{i}$ : the average number of cycles per instructions for that instruction class
$C_{i}$ : the count of the number of instructions of class $i$ executed.
n : the number of instruction classes.

## Homework

- Instruction Classes:
- Add
- Multiply
- Average Clock Cycles per Instruction:
- Add 1 clock cycle
- Mul 3 clock cycles
- Program A executed:
- 10 Add instructions
- 5 Multiply instructions

What is the CPU clock cycle times?
What is the average CPI?

## EXAMPLE

## Comparing Code Segments

A compiler designer is trying to decide between two code sequences for a particular computer. The hardware designers have supplied the following facts:

|  | CPI for this instruction class |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C |
| CPI | 1 | 2 | 3 |

For a particular high-level-language statement, the compiler writer is considering two code sequences that require the following instruction counts:

| Code sequence | Instruction counts for instruction class |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C |
| 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 |

Which code sequence executes the most instructions? Which will be faster? What is the CPI for each sequence?

Sequence 1 executes $2+1+2=5$ instructions. Sequence 2 executes $4+1+1=6$ instructions. So sequence 1 executes fewer instructions.

We can use the equation for CPU clock cycles based on instruction count and CPI to find the total number of clock cycles for each sequence:

$$
\text { CPU clock cycles }=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathrm{CPI}_{i} \times \mathrm{C}_{i}\right)
$$

This yields
CPU clock cycles ${ }_{1}=(2 \times 1)+(1 \times 2)+(2 \times 3)=2+2+6=10$ cycles
CPU clock cycles $2=(4 \times 1)+(1 \times 2)+(1 \times 3)=4+2+3=9$ cycles

So code sequence 2 is faster, even though it actually executes one extra instruction. Since code sequence 2 takes fewer overall clock cycles but has more instructions, it must have a lower CPI. The CPI values can be computed by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{CPI}=\frac{\mathrm{CPU} \text { clock cycles }}{\text { Instruction count }} \\
& \mathrm{CPI}_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{CPU} \mathrm{clock} \mathrm{cycles}_{1}}{\text { Instruction count }_{1}}=\frac{10}{5}=2 \\
& \mathrm{CPI}_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{CPU} \mathrm{clock} \mathrm{cycles}_{2}}{\text { Instruction count }_{2}}=\frac{9}{6}=1.5
\end{aligned}
$$

The above example shows the danger of using only one factor (instruction count) to assess performance. When comparing two computers, you must look at all three components, which combine to form execution time.

## Performance Tradeoffs

- Program Instruction count - impacted by
- Instructions available to perform basic tasks (architecture)
- Quality of compiler code generator
- CPI - impacted by
- Chip implementation
- Quality of compiler code generator
- Memory system performance
- Clock Rate
- Delay characteristics of IC technology
- Logical structure of implementation


## Performance Outline

- Motivation
- Defining Performance
- Common Performance Metrics
- Benchmarks
- Amdahl's Law


## Benchmarks - <br> Programs to Evaluate Performance

- The book defines performance in terms of a specific program
- But, which program should you use?
- Ideally, "real" programs
- Ideally, programs you will use
- But what if you don't know or don't have time to find out?
- Alternative - Benchmark Suites


## Benchmark Suites

- Use a collection of small programs
, Summarize performance ... how?
- Total Execution Time
- Arithmetic Mean
- Weighted Arithmetic Mean
- Geometric Mean


## Total Execution Time

- Suppose we have two benchmarks:

|  | Computer A | Computer B |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Program 1 (seconds) | 1 | 10 |
| Program 2 (seconds) | 1000 | 100 |
| Total time (seconds) | 1001 | 110 |

- How do we compare computers $A$ and $B$ ?
- A is 10 times faster than $B$ for Program 1
- B is 10 times faster than A for Program 2
- Summarizing performance: total execution time

$$
\frac{\text { Performance }_{\mathrm{B}}}{\text { Performance }_{\mathrm{A}}}=\frac{\text { Execution time }_{\mathrm{A}}}{\text { Execution time }_{\mathrm{B}}}=\frac{1001}{110}=9.1
$$

- Reasonable comparison for even workload


## Summarizing Performance

- Arithmetic Mean $A M=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{Time}_{\mathrm{i}}$
- Example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{AM}_{\mathrm{A}}=\frac{1}{2}(1+1000)=500.5 \\
& \mathrm{AM}_{\mathrm{B}}=\frac{1}{2}(10+100)=55
\end{aligned}
$$

- A smaller mean indicates a smaller average execution time; and thus improved performance.
- Weighted Arithmetic Mean - use when some programs run more often than others
- Example:

$$
\mathrm{WAM}=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \text { Weight }_{\mathrm{i}} \times \text { Time }_{\mathrm{i}}
$$

- Program 1 is $\mathbf{8 0 \%}$ of workload $\mathrm{WAM}_{\mathrm{A}}=0.8 \times 1+0.2 \times 1000=200.8$
- Program 2 is $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ of workload $\mathrm{WAM}_{\mathrm{B}}=0.8 \times 10+0.2 \times 100=28$


## The SPEC Benchmark Suite

- System Performance Eqvaluation Corporation
- Founded by workstation vendors with goal of realistic, standardized performance test
- Philosophy: fair comparison between real systems
- Multiple versions - most recent is SPEC2006
- Basic approach
- Measure execution time of several small programs
- Normalize each to performance on a reference machine
- Combine performances using geometric mean

Exec. Time Ratio $=\frac{\text { Exec. } \text { Time }_{\text {ref }}}{\text { Exec. } \text { Time }_{\text {test }}} \quad \mathrm{GM}=\sqrt[n]{\prod_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \text { Exec. Time Ratio }}$

## More about SPEC

- Separate integer \& floating point suites
- SPECint - integer performance
- SPECfp - floating point performance
- Several Versions
- SPEC89 - initial version
- SPEC95 - see Figure 2.6 in book
- SPEC CPU 2000 - current
- CINT 2000
- CFP 2000


## Some Not-so-Recent SPEC Data

- Some SPEC95 numbers:

| Processor | Clock | SPECint95 | SPECfp95 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Intel Pentium II | 266 MHz | 9.5 | 6.4 |
| Intel Pentium III | 734 MHz | 35.6 | 30.4 |
| AMD Athlon K7 | 800 MHz | 35.0 | 26.1 |
| Motorola PPC G4 | 450 MHz | 21.4 | 20.4 |
| Sun Sparc Ultra III | 600 MHz | 35 | 60 |
| Compaq Alpha 21264 | 667 MHz | 44 | 66 |

Source: Berkeley CPU Information Center

- SPEC2000 Results - see http: //www.spec.org
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## Amdahl's Law

- Improving one part of performance by a factor of $\mathbf{N}$ doesn't increase overall performance by $\mathbf{N}$
Execution time afterimprovement

$$
=\left(\frac{\text { Execution time affected by improvement }}{\text { Amount of improvement }}+\text { Execution Time Unaffected }\right)
$$

- Book example: Suppose a program executes in 100 seconds where:
- 80 seconds are spent performing multiply operations
- 20 seconds are spent performing other operations
- What happens if we speed up multipy $n$ times?

| Multiply -80 sec |  |  | Other -20 sec |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Multiply - 40 sec | Other -20 sec |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## Amdahl's Law Example (cont'd)

## - Execution time after speeding up multiply:

Execution time afterimprovement
$=\left(\frac{\text { Execution time affected by improvement }}{\text { Amount of improvement }}+\right.$ Execution Time Unaffected $)$

Execution time afterimprovement $=\left(\frac{80 \text { seconds }}{\mathrm{n}}+20\right.$ seconds $)$

- Bottom line: no matter what we do to multiply, execution time will always be $\mathbf{> 2 0}$ seconds!


## Amdahl's Law Corollary

- Make the common case fast
- In our example, biggest gains when we speed up multiply
- Speeding up "other instructions" is not as valuable


| Multiply -60 sec | Other -20 sec |
| :---: | :---: |


| Multiply -80 sec | Other -15 sec |
| :--- | :--- |

## Amdahl's Law

## What about this!

How much do we have to improve the speed of multiplication if we want the program to run 4 times faster?"

## Amdahl's Law

Execution time after improvement

$$
=\frac{80 \text { seconds }}{\mathrm{n}}+(100-80 \text { seconds })
$$

Expected Execution time after improvement $=\frac{100}{4}=25$

$$
=\frac{80}{n}+20, \quad \mathrm{n}=16
$$

## MIPS (millions instructions per second)

$$
M I P S=\frac{\text { Instruction count }}{\text { Execution time } \times 10^{6}}
$$

| Code from | Instruction Counts (in billions) <br> for each instruction set |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{A}(1 \mathrm{CPI})$ | $\mathrm{B}(2 \mathrm{CPI})$ | $\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{CPI})$ |
| Compiler 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| Compiler 2 | 10 | 1 | 1 |

## Clock rate $=4 \mathrm{GHz} \quad$ A,B,C : Instruction Classes

- Which code sequence will execute faster according to MIPS?
- According to execution time?


## Execution time \& MIPS (1)

CPU clock cycles $1=(5 \times 1+1 \times 2+1 \times 3) \times 10^{9}=10 \times 10^{9}$
CPU clock cycles $2=(10 \times 1+1 \times 2+1 \times 3) \times 10^{9}=15 \times 10^{9}$
Execution time $1=\frac{10^{\times} 10^{9}}{4 \times 10^{9}}=2.5$ seconds
Execution time $2=\frac{15 \times 10^{9}}{4 \times 10^{9}}=3.75$ seconds

## Execution time \& MIPS (2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { MIPS }_{1}=\frac{(5+1+1) \times 10^{9}}{2.5 \text { seconsd } \times 10^{6}}=2800 \\
& \text { MIPS }_{2}=\frac{(10+1+1) \times 10^{9}}{3.75 \times 10^{6}}=3200
\end{aligned}
$$

So, the code from compiler 2 has higher MIPS rating, but the code from compiler 1 runs faster!!
$\rightarrow$ This example shows how MIPS can fail to give a true picture of performance!!!

## Roadmap for the term: major topics

- Computer Systems Overview
- Technology Trends
- Instruction sets (and Software)
- Logic \& Arithmetic
- Performance
- Processor Implementation
- Memory Systems
- Input/Output

